



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/522,185	03/09/2000	Henry Li	36713/CAG/B600	2282
23363	7590	01/25/2005	EXAMINER	
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			VINCENT, DAVID ROBERT	
PO BOX 7068			ART UNIT	
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			PAPER NUMBER	
			2661	

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/522,185

Applicant(s)

LI ET AL.

Examiner

David R Vincent

Art Unit

2661

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --****Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 December 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-94 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-94 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-94 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Previously (in remarks filed 10/18/04) the applicant argued that "a packet based network, by definition, is asynchronous" (see remarks pg. 27), and in the present amendment (filed 12/20/04) the applicant amended the claims to specify that the claimed packet based network is asynchronous. In response to the applicant's remarks, the examiner asked the applicant to provide any documentation that was used in coming to this conclusion. In response, the applicant did not submit any documentation nor did the applicant point to any part of the specification or disclosure where the term asynchronous is further defined. The term asynchronous is now specifically claimed and the examiner would like to know where in the 116 page specification this term is further defined or receive documentation that can be used to clarify the meaning of the term (please see 37 CFR 1.105). The reason that examiner is repeatedly asking for this is because the examiner does not agree with the applicant's conclusion and cannot find a clear definition of the term in the specification.

Art Unit: 2661

It is the examiner's position that protocols such as HDLC (Arimilli: col. 6, lines 9-24) are full duplex synchronous packet protocols. Therefore the examiner disagrees with the applicant's assertion that a packet based network; by definition, is asynchronous. Furthermore, Arimilli discloses that it is well known to use either synchronous or asynchronous lines for communication and that "asynchronous data is by definition formatted by framing each character with a start and stop bit" (col. 5, lines 51-65). For at least the above cited reasons, the examiner concludes that there is, at the present time, no clear meaning of the term asynchronous (on the record) which the applicant claims and argues.

However, in order to advance the prosecution, the examiner has made a new grounds of rejection below. Meaning, since Arimilli is mainly interested in transmitting data over synchronous transmission networks (in order to gain efficiency, col. 5, lines 52-54) the examiner has combined Arimilli with Murphy to more clearly show transmitting over asynchronous networks.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2661

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-94 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arimilli (US 6,515,984) in view of Murphy (US 2002/0036791).

As shown in e.g., Figs, 3-6C, 11-19, Arimilli discloses voice exchange for exchanging voice signals between a network line and a packet based network (voice data going from e.g., voice/fax channel to packet network 313, Fig. 3, 4A, 5A; bidirectional voice, Fig. 6C), full duplex data exchanging (using HDLC, Arimilli: col. 6, lines 9-24 which is a full duplex protocol; real time bidirectional data, Figs. 5-6), demodulating data (such as fax data) or data that was modulated using a voice band carrier (pumping out data from fax demodulator, cols. 23-24; col. 4, lines 46-65; demodulating from analog carrier, col. 7, lines 1-10; col. 22, line 65-col. 24, line 14), a call discriminator (e.g., col. 9, lines 59-66; col. 10, lines 15-19), jitter buffer (does not specifically disclose but implies using since Arimilli discloses "speech sounds natural", col. 13, line 29-col. 14, line 14, especially col. 14, line 4), outputting isochronous stream (real time data, e.g., col. 12, lines 1-3; col. 14, lines 10-14), a plurality of signals and formats

Art Unit: 2661

(receiving and transmitting at least voice and fax from and to both analog PSTN and digital leased lines, e.g., Fig. 6C and respective disclosure), a first device being a DTMF telephone (RX from PSTN, Fig. 6C); detecting inputs or formats (col. 7, lines 47-58; col. 9, lines 59-67; col. 23, lines 40-64); encoding voice data (col. 4, lines 46-65; col. 7, lines 11-27; col. 12, lines 43-49; col. 14, lines 15-65); receiving from DTMF circuit switched PSTN (col. 8); PCM data (col. 11, lines 50-67; col. 14, lines 54-65); fax (e.g., col. 8); using computer readable devices (e.g., col. 9); data pump or demodulating fax data or data that was modulated using a voice band carrier (pumping out data from fax demodulator, cols. 23-24; col. 4, lines 46-65; demodulating from analog carrier, col. 7, lines 1-10; col. 32, line 65-col. 24, line 14); selectively outputting both the demodulated fax data and the encoded voice data (e.g., Figs. 2, 15-19; or col. 4, lines 30-46; outputting to digital leased lines, col. 5, lines 9-13; col. 6, lines 9-14; composite link, 315; muxing sporadic activity, col. 5, lines 40-51), decoding from packet network (Fig. 6A, col. 7, lines 30-47; input/output, 602, Fig. 6A; A/D and D/A, bi-directional, Fig. 6C; col. 6, lines 55-59), using packets (col. 5, lines 40-51); using packet data networks (col. 9, lines 15-47, especially line 39; DDS network, Fig. 6B); buffering data (col. 6, lines 30-47;

Art Unit: 2661

604, Fig. 6A; 402, Fig. 6C; col. 14, lines 40-53); echo cancellation (cols. 10-12, 15), rates and synchs (e.g., cols. 11-12, 15, 19-20), silence suppression, spoof data/muting (col. 20), voice activity detection (col. 12, lines 4-11; col. 20, lines 10-25; 1205, Fig. 12; col. 15, line 49-col. 16, line 59), using packets and frames (Figs. 15-19; col. 6, lines 9-65), digital data/packet network (DDN, col. 9, lines 34-39), as specified in claims in 1-3, 18, 20-28, 43, 45, 47-51, 58-59, 61, 66-68, 71-75, 82-83, 85-87, 90-91, 94.

Although Arimilli discloses that it is well known to use either synchronous or asynchronous lines for communication (col. 5, lines 51-65), Arimilli fails to particularly call for choosing asynchronous networks over synchronous ones. Therefore it can be argued that Arimilli fails to call for outputting over asynchronous networks. Arimilli also fails to particularly call for voice activity detection (VAD) from the packet data network (PDN) to the PSTN; inserting comfort noise, using the buffers (col. 6, lines 30-47; 604, Fig. 6A; 402, Fig. 6C; col. 14, lines 40-53) for the purpose of jitter compensation, and adjusting holding times in the jitter buffers.

Murphy teaches using asynchronous packet networks (12, Figs. 2-3, 5-7, 31-34, packet network 10, Fig. 36; 56, Fig. 37; 376, Fig. 42; 494, Fig. 43; WAN/Internet, Figs. 15-16, 44-47,

Art Unit: 2661

and respective disclosure), voice activity detection from the packet data network and suppressing/muting when no voice is detected (claims 9-15, especially 9, 12), inserting comfort noise, spoofing data (claims 9-14, especially claim 12), using the buffers (claims 9-14) for the purpose of jitter compensation, adjusting holding times in the jitter buffers (claims 9-14, especially claim 10), specifically using IP (sections 29 and 38-39, 119), detecting lost packets (claim 13-14; using TCP involves detecting lost packets by merely complying with the TCP retransmission part of the protocol, section 65), as specified in claims 4-17, 19, 27-42, 44, 46, 52-57, 60, 62-65, 67-70, 74-81, 84, 88-89, and 92-93.

Since Arimilli discloses using a variety of paths (col. 9, lines 32-39), and that it is well known to use either synchronous or asynchronous lines for communication and Murphy teaches that asynchronous packet based networks such as the Internet or WANs are well known, it would have been obvious to allow the communications disclosed in Arimilli (e.g., 313, Fig. 3 or 5A and respective disclosure) to transverse the Internet. By allowing the communications to be sent over the Internet, data can be sent less expensively and reach more people, e.g., mobile/PDA users.



Art Unit: 2661


It would have been obvious to add the reverse path voice activity detection of Murphy since it is clear that data is being received from the packet network disclosed in Arimilli (Fig. 6A, col. 7, lines 30-47; input/output, 602, Fig. 6A; A/D and D/A, Fig. 6C; col. 6 lines 55-59), and because Arimilli discloses using protocols such as HDLC (Arimilli: col. 6, lines 9-24) which are full duplex. By detecting voice silence in both directions, and using the various buffers (e.g., col. 7, lines 1-27) to compensate for jitter and detecting lost packets, Arimilli could make the signals sound more natural or pleasing and Arimilli is clearly concerned with quality (cols. 13-14, especially col. 13, lines 39-40 and col. 14, lines 2-6).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David R Vincent whose telephone number is 703 305 4957. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas Olms can be reached on 703 305 4703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

 1/24/05  
David R Vincent  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2661

January 21, 2005